Update on Virtual Tissue Repository Initiative, De-identification efforts and Genomics projects

SEER*DMS F2F meeting

Valentina Petkov, MD, MPH



September 21, 2017

VTR: the big picture



SEER Biospecimen Repository Proposed Workflow



KARA National Canc	er Institute					at the National	Institutes of Health	www.cancer
SEER BIOSI	1are Ir Data Into Discover	y					🛓 Sea	an Brennan
Home	About	Reque	sts -	Search	FAQ	Reports 👻	Ad	lmin -
Home / S Histolog	у				×	Search	🐂 6 — Create Re	equest — C
Enter searce 8500/2 Primary sit	3: Adenocarcinoma, 3: Infiltrating duct ca 3: Squamous cell car	NOS (# of ca arcinoma, NO cinoma, NOS	ses = 3,498) S (C50) (# (# of cases	of cases = 1,721) = 894)			×	🔳 Filt
Histology 8720/3	2: Melanoma in situ 3: Malignant melano	(C44) (# of ma, NOS (C4-	cases = 520) 4.0_) (# of ca) Ises = 458)			Race	Requ
Sex 8000/3	3: Neoplasm, malign	ant (# of cas	es = 370)				White	
Vital status	2: Lentigo maligna (0 2: Papillary transition	C44) (# of c	ases = 253)	Wasive (C65 9 C66 9 C6	(7) (# of cases = 248)		White	
Race 8010/3	3: Carcinoma, NOS (#	# of cases =	186)	vasive (cos.s, coo.s, co	(# 01 cases = 240)	→ ma (C44)	White	
Age at diag Find					Cancel Filter Results)	White	
spanish nis panie orig HS link	 Q	Female	83	8520/3: Lot	oular carcinoma, NOS (C	50)	White	
Dx. confirmation	Q	Female	79	8380/3: End	lometrioid carcinoma (C	56.9)	White	
Rx. summary radiatio	n Q	Male	71	8140/3: Ade	enocarcinoma, NOS		Unknown	
EER summary stage	2000 Q	Female	54	8430/3: Mu	coepidermoid carcinom	a	Unknown	
Sequence number Type of reporting so	urce Q	Male	79	8550/3: Aci	nar cell carcinoma		White	
Rx. summary surgery	primary Q	Female	66	8201/2: Cril	briform carcinoma in sit	u (C50)	White	
Porot Soarch	Fol	und 16,577 r	esults in 63 i	milliseconds.		1 2	3 4 5	6 7

Reset Search

C Intps://www92.imsweb.com/search/	:q=+pathology_reports	.70JC702A703A7028KI0/7029			2	1 💌 😃
Kational Cancer Institute			at the Nation			
SEER BioShare	Pathology Repor	rts ×			🛔 Sean Bi	rennan -
	Patient Display ID	PAT-20089081				
Home About	Tumor Record Number	02	Reports +	70-0	Admin	st — Clear
	Record Document	REC-3001764019	cu scurch		incute incipie	
pathology_reports.*:(ki67)	Clinical History	1. IDC 2. Fibroadenomatous/fibrocystic change. Cancer? (less likely)	Des	Desthall	×	Filter
Histology	Comments		; Kace	Pathology	Reports	Request
Sex	Formal DX	1. Left breast, 11 o'clock, biopsy: Invasive ductal carcinoma,	Black	D		0
Vital status		predicted Bloom-Richardson Score 7 (tubule formation 3, nuclear pleomorphism 3, mitosis 1). Note: the tumor is	White	0		0
Race		positive for E-Cadherin, and the K_{167} labeling index is	White	0		O
Age at diagnosis		approximately 90%. ER, PR and Her2 are ordered. 2. Right breast, 11 to 12 o'clock oropsy: Invasive ductal carcinoma.	White	100		
Spanish hispanic origin		predicted Bloom-Riemardson Score 8 (tubule formation 3,				
IHS link		positive for E-Cadherin, and the K_{167} labeling index is	White	0		
Dx. confirmation Rx. summary radiation		approximately 50%. ER, PR and Her2 are ordered. **INITIALS	Pathology F	leports		
SEER summary stage 2000		M85003 M85203 P1140 T04030 M85003 P1140 T04020	Patient Displ	ay ID PAT-200890	81	
Sequence number	Full Text		Tumor Recor	d 02		
Type of reporting source	Gross Pathology	1. Received in formalin, labeled with the patient's name and	Record Docu	ment REC-300176	4019	
Rx. summary surgery primary site		medical record number, and designated as "left breast", are	Clinical Histo	ry 1. IDC 2. Fib (less likely)	roadenomatous/fibroc	ystic change. Cancer
Reset Search		measuring 1.9 and 1.7 cm in length. The specimen is submitted entirely in a single cassette. 2. Received in formalin, labeled with the patient's name and medical record number, and designated as "right breast", are multiple fragments of yellow lobulated fatty tissue ranging in size from 0.1 to 1.2 cm in length. The specimen is strained and entirely submitted in a single cassette. **INITIALS				

To do

- Identify reliable de-identification software and incorporate it with SEER*DMS
- Finish the VTR pilot in 7 registries
- Obtain funding for the scaled program
- Establish VTR policies and procedures

VTR pilot in 7 SEER registries



Objectives

- To inform us in establishing best practices
 - Can the registries do it?
 - Registry regulatory requirements (IRB approvals, MTAs, DUA, etc)
 - Pathology labs regulatory issues
 - Retrieval and processing of specimen
 - Detailed clinical annotation
 - Effort and cost at each step

Methods

- RRSS in 7 SEER registries: GrCA, CT, HI, KY, IA LA, UT
- Pathology inventory: 42 item web-based questionnaire to local pathology labs – completed
 - Storing/sharing biospecimens
 - Sharing/providing histology slides
 - Digitization of images
 - Terms of release for research

Methods (cont)

- Two use cases: case-control matched study design
 - Study 1: Unusual outcome in early stage breast cancer (LN0)
 - Cases:< 30 mo survival w COD=BC
 - Controls > 60 months survival
 - Matched deterministically on HR status and probabilistically on age, race, year of dx, tumor size, histology, radiation, number of LN examined
 - Study 2: Unusual outcome in pancreatic adenocarcinoma
 - Cases: > 60 months survival
 - Controls< 24 month survival w COD=PC</p>
 - Matched deterministically on mets and LN status and probabilistically on age, race, gender, anatomical location, radiation therapy

SEER-VTR Pilot Workflow



Custom annotation of biospecimen

- Detailed systemic therapy (agents, dose, frequency, duration)
- Radiation therapy
- Co-morbidities
- Biomarkers

		_	
🚰 💱 🛃 🗙 🍡 😳 🖂 🔫 🔍 🤫 Text: 📲 📲 📕 🖉 Info: 🖏 Tools: 🗮 🕰 🔩 🔩	i.		
Patient Identifiers/Common Data (32) Comorbidities (7) Radiation Therapy (56) Systemic Therapy (152) Final Review Print Record			
PANCREAS			
	🖉 Drug	g - Lookup - V 💼 🔳	×
	Code	Description	
Complete the form for all drugs of interest include single and total dose dispensed, dose units, and frequency of administration	00	None given	*
	01	5-Fluorouracil (5-FU)	
Systemic Therapy Agent 1	02	Capecitabine (Xeloda)	=
Drug 😡 Other Dose Schedule Flag 😡 🖓	03	Cisplatin (CDDP, Platinol)	- 11
	04	Oxaliplatin (Eloxatin)	
Single Dose	05	Epirubicin (Elience)	_
Route of Administration	00	Initomych	_
	08	Gencitabine	_
Total Dose Prescribed	- 30	Generation	

Current status:

- Determination of tissue availability 95% completed
- Custom annotation 25% completed
- Need additional cases and controls
- Timeline: 9/2017 9/2018



Substudy: Digital imaging

- Collaboration with CBIIT, Emory and Stony Brook universities
- Objectives:
 - Can registries successfully collect and transfer images
 - Incorporation with image viewer/ image analysis software
 - Feature extraction nuclear morphology and lymphocyte infiltration
- 5 participating registries
- 700 images
- Current status: 130 images collected and transferred to IMS and Emory

Substudy: Genomic sequencing

- Pancreatic cancer
- Sponsored by PanCAN
- WES on 100 case-control pairs performed by a commercial lab
- Clinical and sequencing data will be stored at IMS
- Ultimate goal is to make the data available to the larger research community (Genomic Data Commons/ dbGap)
- Current status: protocol developed; IRB submissions
- Timeline
 - Sequencing 7/17-7/18
 - Initial evaluation of data and analysis 7/18-7/19
 - Data available to research community: 2020

Acknowledgements

SEER Registries (GC, CT, HI, IA, LA, KY and UT) IMS NCI/SRP: L Penberthy, V Petkov, S. Hussey, M Matatova, S Friedman, A. Wang, M Yu, P Fearn, former: S. Altecruse, R Moravec, J Botten NCI/other E. Gillander, D. Carrick, Ed Helton, Ulrike Wagner PanCAN Emory U: Ashish Sharma Stoney Brook U: Joel Saltz

SEER Evaluation of De-identification tools

Two studies



De-identification evaluation protocol

- 5 SEER Registries: CT, HI, KY, NM, and Seattle
 - IRB approvals
- Pathology report selection
 - 4000 randomly selected from reports received in 2011
 - 800/registry
 - Stratified by cancer site
 - 160 each: breast, lung, crc, prostate and other
 - IMS provided technical instructions
 - Each registry performed the de-identification
 - Reviewed and compared de-id tool output to original report
 - Recorded number of occurrences PII was missed by PII category
 - Automated count of de-id phrases by PII category

Performance measurement

- De-identification rate
 - PII phrase level
 - N de-identified phrases/All PII phrases
 - PII at patient level
 - N patients w/ missed PII/800
 - Calculated per each PII category, overall and per registry
- Limitations
 - N de-id phrases counted based on PII tag (includes over scrubbing)
 - De-id rates for names of patients and providers cannot be calculated separately

DE-IDTM

http://www.de-idata.com/

Performance of De-ID[™] in five SEER registry

PHI type	De-Id phrases N	Missed phrases N	All PHI phrases	PII phrase DeID rate	N pts w/ missed PII	Pt level DeID rate
Names	13030	88	13118	0.993	19	0.995
Dates	8717	31	8748	0.996	23	0.994
Phone Numbers	909	0	909	1.000	0	1.000
Places	1532	0	1532	1.000	0	1.000
Street Addresses	350	10	360	0.972	7	0.998
Zip Codes	844	0	844	1.000	0	1.000
ID Numbers	1358	77	1435	0.946	51	0.987
Total PHI	26740	206	26946	0.992	100	0.975
Path Numbers	1678	1310	2988	0.562	810	0.798
Institutions	1355	1673	3028	0.447	825	0.794
Total de-id info	29773	3189	32962	0.903	1735	0.566

NIH NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE

NLM scrubber

Beta Version tested

https://scrubber.nlm.nih.gov/

Performance of NLM scrubber in CT SEER registry

NLM scrubber tags	N phrases de-id	N phrases missed	Total N phrases	N patients not de-id	De-id rate phrases	De-id patients
Personal name pt name+provider name	5130	0+8	5138	0	0.998	1.000
Address	466	1	467	1	0.998	0.999
Alphanumeric ssn+mrn+phone+ path#	1420	0+0+0+179	1599	77	0.888	0.901
Date	1393	1	1394	1	0.999	0.999
Total	8409	189	8598	79	0.978	0.899

Performance of NLM scrubber in HI SEER registry

NLM scrubber tags	N phrases de-id	N phrases missed	Total N phrases	N patients not de-id	De-id rate phrases	De-id patients
Personal name pt name+provider name	6783	29+35	6847	13	0.991	0.984
Address	356	0	356	0	1.000	1.000
Alphanumeric ssn+mrn+phone#+path#	1057	0+0+0+5	1062	3	0.995	0.996
Date	883	1	884	1	0.999	0.999
Total	9079	69	9149	17	0.992	0.979

Other tools

 PARAT, Privacy Analytics
 MIST, MITRE

Summary

- Reasonable performance for PII (with the exception of Seattle and to a lesser degree HI)
- Suboptimal for Institution and pathology specimen IDs
- Inconsistency across reports and registries
 - De-ID within a report
- Registries opinion: generally not satisfied
 - KY and CT: NLM scrubber performed better and more user friendly
 - Seattle: both tools performed the same; NLM easier to use
 - HI and NM: performance the same

Next steps

- PII annotation on representative sample of ePath reports
- Testing high-potential de-identification tools
 - Latest version of NLM scrubber

BoB

PII Annotation Protocol for Narrative Clinical Text

- Annotation of PII all PII is clearly marked and categorized in the text
- CDAP pipeline will be used for annotation
- Each registry will annotate a sample of reports
- PII annotated reports will be used for:
 - Customization and training of de-identification tools
 - Validation/testing of the tools prior to deployment
 - Validation/testing each time major revisions/versions of the tools are introduced

CDAP



Annotation Process

+ Image: A https://zzseercdap-lab	okey. seerdms.com /labkey/NCI%20CDAP%20pipeline/nlp	-view A 🔎 🛪 🖴 🖒 🎯 Check Point Mobile - Main 🛛 Pathology Report - 01-REC 🗴 🎯 Welcome to AgilQuest's OnBo	
💧 LabKey Server			Search LabKey Serve
► NCI CDAP pipeline			
NCI CDAP pipeline			NLP [
Pathology Repo	ort - 01-REC-300063941	5	
Field Results Dates Date: Names	Apr 20, 2013	Size: 0.9 x 0.7 x 0.5 cm (black) and 1.2 x 1 x 0.7 cm (blue) Submitted/Blocks: Entirely/2./r/n <item naaccrid="textPathMicroscopicDesc">MicroscopicDescription: A. Ductal carcinoma in situ is seen involving the entire specimen with multiple foci (4) of micr 1 mm) ductal carcinoma. The ductal carcinoma in situ is seen focally involving the superior and is within a millimeter of all other surgical margins. In addition there is crush artifact at th margin involvement cannot be entirely excluded. The DCIS shows clinging/micropapillary and papi intermediate nuclear grade (Grade II). Focal reaction compatible with previous biopsy site is</item>	coinvasive (less superficial sur e surgical marg illary patterns seen.
First Name: Last Name: Other Name:	Edward Simms	 B. Microscopic evaluation was performed. Final diagnosis was rendered based on gross and microscopic evaluation was performed. Final diagnosis was rendered based on gross and microscopic evaluation was performents? A: Right breast tissue, excision (fresh) B: Right breast tissue at 9 o'clock, excision (fresh) B: Right breast tissue at 9 o'clock, excision (fresh) Comments: The following results were performed at Medford, OR and reported by Edward R. Simms, M.D. on A 	scopic findings pr 20, 2013.
Addresses		INTERPRETATION: BREAST CANCER PROGNOSTIC PANEL: *1, BLOCK # A7 (INVASIVE CARCINOMA) ESTROGEN RECEPTOR: 90% PROGESTERONE RECEPTOR: 83%	
Street: City: State/Province/Country: Postal Code:	Medford OR	Analysis is performed using ChromaVision Automated Cellular Imaging System (ACIS) on formalin- embedded section stained by immunohistochemical methods on the Ventana Benchmark XT automated using antibodies against ER (SP1 IVD), PR (clone IE2 IVD). Though the largest studies have us threshold for provide the provided a subject of the largest studies have us	fixed paraffin- stainer sed 10% as a

Annotation schema

- All 18 HIPAA Safe Harbor identifiers
- Institution/Medical practice/Laboratory name and address
- Pathology report/specimen/slide number

Registry selection

- All registries are eligible to participate
 - Registry decision

Benefits

- Tool customization will take into account registry specific variability
- The same set of reports can be used for assessment of multiple tools and later versions of tools
- Annotation by preset rules will allow for comparability across registries and tools

Costs

- Will require some time investment at the registry
 - Training (1-2 hours)
 - Annotation of 100 documents is estimated at 17 hours but can vary

Proposed metrics/goals

- Patient name: > 99%
- Other names (relatives; providers, etc.): > 98%
- SSN: 100%
- Dates: > 98%
- Other identification numbers (MRN, account #, insurance plan #): > 99%
- Patient address (street, city, zip code): > 98%
- Patient phone, fax, email, URL: > 99%
- Specimen/slide/path report #: > 97%
- Institution/lab name: > 97%
- Institution address: > 97%





- NISTIR 8053: De-Identification of Personal Information (Oct. 2015)
 - http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ir/2015/NIST.IR.8053.pdf
- NIST Special Publications 800-188: De-Identifying Government Datasets (second draft, Dec. 2016)
 - <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-</u> <u>188/sp800_188_draft2.pdf</u>

Acknowledgment:

SEER registries: CT, HI, KY, NM, and Seattle NCI team: Morris Spencer, Paul Fern, Steve Friedman, Lynne Penberthy IMS team: Rusty Shields, Dave Annette, Laurie Buck, Linda Coyle NIH/NLM: Mehmet Kayaalp USC: Stephane Meystre

Tumor genomics and germline mutations



Overview

- Importance to SEER
- Both tumor genome and germline mutations are determinants of response to therapy and outcomes
- Issues with current collection of BMs as standard data elements
 - Limited to few BMs
 - Quality: completeness and accuracy
 - Rapid change in landscape and time lag
- SEER plan: tumor genomics and germline mutations to be collected as part of regular cancer surveillance
 - Mostly in automated ways

Oncotype DX linkage

- Currently in third year plan to finish by the end of August
- Data on 21-gene assay available to researchers as a specialized data set
- 16-gene assay data analyzed currently
- Assessment will determine data release policy
- Incorporation in SEER-Medicare: MOU
- Ongoing research collaboration with Genomic Health on research projects, presentations and articles

GA-CA genetic linkage (Genlink study)

- Primary objective: to determine the feasibility of collecting germline mutations for cancer surveillance
- IRB approved study in 4 registries
- Breast and ovarian cancer cases diagnosed 2013-2015 (>100,000)
- Linked to single or multipanel germline mutations tests
- 4 labs (Myriad, Invite, Ambry, and GeneDX)
- Labs provided 1.5 million records for 1.1 million persons
- 26% of SEER cases successfully linked
- De-identified data set is currently analyzed
- Will be available to researchers through central registries
- 2017 linkage to capture fully 2015 dx year
- Plans to scale to SEER program
 - 2018 linkage will be open to all SEER registries that can collect these data as part of regular cancer surveillance

Collaboration with Syapse

- IT company that harmonizes genomic data across labs, integrates them with clinical data, displays the data in chronological and structured way, link targetable genes/mutations to available drugs both for standard of care and clinical trials
- Pilot in GA
 - Conducted as cancer surveillance activity
 - Link data from 2 genomic labs, preferably multipanel tests
 - Gardient360 70 gene liquid bx test covering all actionable gene mutations

Other projects

- Foundation Medicine
 - FoundationOne (solid tumors): >300 genes in all 4 classes of alteration for solid tumors plus MSI and tumor mutational burden
 - FoundationOne Heme: > 400 genes interrogated and >250 RNA sequence genes
 - FoundationACT (>60 genes; liquid bx)
 - FoundationFocus CDxBRACA: first FDA approved companion dx for both germline and somatic BRCA mutation in Ovarian ca-response to PARP inhibitors
- Prostate Biomarkers 3 major players
 - Prolaris test (Myriad)
 - Decipher (GenomeDX)
 - OncotypeDX (Genomic Health)



www.cancer.gov/espanol

www.cancer.gov