SEER*DMS Claims Workgroup

Chair: Kevin Ward

Members:

- Lyn Almon GA
- Judy Andrews GA
- Carrie Bateman UT
- Eric Durbin KY
- Barbara Evans NM
- Jennifer Hafterson SE
- Stephanie Hill NJ
- Bin Huang KY
- Loretta Huston UT
- Mireille Leimieux NJ
- Nancy Lozon DT
- SuAnn McFadden UT
- Jenna Mazreku CA Central Cancer Reg
- Cheryl Moody CA Central Registry
- Mary Potts SE
- Antoinette Stroup NJ
- Fawn Vigneau DT
- Ginger Williams NM
- Kacey Wigren UT

<u>NCI</u>: Angela Mariotto, Marina Matatova, Donna Rivera, Nadia Howlader, Lynne Penberthy <u>IMS</u>: Linda Coyle, Chuck May, Philip Crider, David Angelaszek <u>Registries</u>: GA, UT, KY, NM, SE, NJ, DT, CA Central

Objectives: There are four overarching objectives for this group.

Big Picture

- Standardize processes for working with claims across all registries where possible
- Maximize automation
- Facilitate research access to source claims (or at least selected fields)
- Expand capture



Short Term

Onboarding

- Assess the current landscape gain understanding of status of local agreements in each SEER area to allow integration of Unlimited data
- Develop a checklist for registries to use to evaluate the data that they receive
- Discuss impact of different sources and the timelines for receiving claims data (eg, UT will receive data in batches submitted yearly; get more info from UT)
- Implement viewer to track incoming claims by source (Provider NPI) Dashboard

Codes and Formularies

- Explore what additional data can be accurately gleaned from ICD-10 codes available in 2015 (mets, progression, second primary, etc)
- Incorporate drug agent lists for all oncologics (chemo, hormone, and immunotherapy)
- Develop comprehensive list of radiation therapy codes
- Develop methods to address agents with reference dates (ex. considered chemotherapy at one point and immunotherapy at another)

Workflow

- Finalize decisions on claims matching (Patient only vs CTC)
 - If patient only, timing rules would still allow treatment augmentation
 - If CTC, need to optimize MPH assessment rules for matching claims to CTC's (relaxed rules for claims)
- Finalize DMS workflow for the processing of prospective claims
 - AFL generation
 - Fields for autoconsolidation Follow-up, race, dob, gender, current address, SSN, others (aside from follow-up, focus on unknown to known values i.e. conservative approach)

Quality Control

- Make available standard queries to conduct focused manual review of the claims data for augmentation (SQL sample in existing data search)
- Use retrospective data (2013-2015) to quantify gains in treatment augmentation from these data

Medium Term

Case finding

• Develop processes for follow-back on incoming claims that do NOT match a Patient Set

Automation

- Develop rules
 - o Define all fields for automation

- Identify first vs later course therapy (timing consider SEER timing rules for assuming first course for early stage disease less likely to have progressed); list of usual courses by cancer type; flag for manual review)
- Capture of agents

Quality Control

• Establish processes for conducting quality control on automated data (developing test plans – suggestions to include epidemiologists in these analyses to evaluate research usability of the data – repeat QC on ongoing basis to ensure quality over time)

Data Access

- Develop data query capability for non-SQL user (like Data Search in SEER*DMS)
- Facilitate internal access to research file of claims data for analyses.

Long Term

- Expand implementation to other oncology practices and vendors (mandatory reporting via claims for practices not submitting data in other ways)
- Develop methods for broad research use of the data (data documentation, data query, ability to export, export format)
- Develop rules for automation for research use
 - Complete decisions regarding population of additional discrete data fields (comorbidity, change in agents/regimens, completion, early termination of therapy, etc)